

# dav1d, 1 year later



Jean-Baptiste Kempf

0202-2020



# Who am I?

### **President of VideoLAN**

Work/Manage VLC, x264, FFMpeg, dav1d

Other multimedia projects







# VP9++?

- VP9 is a semi-failure
- Good format, royalties OK
- Rarely used
  - Have you ever watched an anime rip in VP9?
  - Spec?
- YT, Netflix

# AV1

- Different from just VP10
- AOM, Mozilla, Cisco
- Excellent results



# AV1 ecosystem

- Numerous encoders
  - libaom, SVT-AV1, rav1e
  - EVE-AV1, Ateme, Harmonic, Bitmovin
  - Ngcodec, FPGA, ...
- Numerous deployments
  - Youtube, Netflix, Facebook
  - Cloud vendors
- Hardware is coming in 2020
  - Intel, nVidia, AMD?
  - Samsung TV, Amlogic, Broadcom





# VVC, EVC

- Competion is coming?
  - VVC in July 2020, EVC in April 2020
  - MPEG-5 LC-EVC
  - AV2???
- Royalties
  - VVC is based on HEVC
    - 5 patent pools? :D
    - Are improvements enough to justify?
    - HEVC semi-failure
  - EVC is not enough
    - Gains?
    - MC-IF
  - LC-EVC is not actually a codec

# Dav1d

# Dav1d goals

- "AV1 needs a great software decoder"
- Faster decoder everywhere
- Very portable and cross-platform
- Small binary size (ffvpg)

## Launched last year

- Announced at VDD 2018
- First release in december 2018
- Last release: 0.5.2, 0.6.0 soon



- Oct '18 Announce
- Dec '18 0.1 4x faster than libaom on x64
- Mar '19 0.2 2x faster than libaom on ARM64, 4x on ARM32, 5x on x64
- *May '19* **0.3** Focus on SSSE3 (+25%), ARM (+12%)
- Aug '19 0.4 Bugs, MSAC, RAM usage, VSX
- Oct '19 0.5 Finish ARM64, SSSE3
- Dec '19 **0.5.2** SSE2, ARM32

## Fast on desktop

### dav1d vs aomdec multi-thread performance



aomdec 2019-Sep-15 dav1d 0.5.0

8



# Complexity of AV1



- Dual Passes
  - Rare inside a decoder
  - First pass to analyze, Second to decode
- Dual Threading model
  - Tile Thread
  - Frame Thread
  - Need to set both to get best decoding

# Why is dav1d faster?

# **1**. C version is faster

AV1 Decode Performance (Single Threaded ARMv8 64-bit)



Why is dav1d faster?

# 2. Threading is better



13

Threads

# Why is dav1d faster?

# 3. low-level development

#### Programming languages used in this repository

Measured in bytes of code. Excludes generated and vendored code.

C (no C++ overhead) Hand-written asm

No intrinsics

Assembly	56.08 %
• c	42.78 %
<ul> <li>Meson</li> </ul>	0.84 %
O C++	0.15 %
Objective-C	0.14 %



### ASM aware code

- MSAC
- Inverse Transform
- Motion Compensation
- Intra Pred
- Loopfilter
- Loop Restoration
- CDEF
- Film Grain

### Non-ASM code

- Decode\_coef (8%)
- Ref\_mv (12%)
- Decode

# dav1d

	AVX-2	SSSE-3 32 + 64bit	ARM64	ARM32
MSAC	$\rightarrow$	Only SSE2	Yes	No
Inverse Transform	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Motion Compensation	Yes	Yes Warp SSE2	Yes emu_edge	Yes emu_edge
Intra Pred	Yes z1, z2, z3	Yes	Yes z1, z2, z3	Partial
Loopfilter	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Loop Restoration	Yes	Yes Wiener SSE2	Yes	Yes
CDEF	Yes	Yes + SSE2	Yes	Yes
Film Grain	Yes Except 4:4:4	Yes	No	No

# X264, libavcodec

### • x264

- 68kLoC **C**
- 37kLoC asm (25k x86, 12k ARM)
- libavcodec
  - 540 kLoC **C**
  - 80 kLoC asm (40k x86, 40k ARM)
- dav1d
  - 25 kLoC **C**
  - 64 kLoC **asm** (45k x86, 19k ARM)

#### Programming languages used in this repository

Measured in bytes of code. Excludes generated and vendored code.





# Next: GPU

# GSoC 2019: GPU optimizations

- Vulkan Shaders
- Android only

#### Done:

- Loop Restoration (SGR, Wiener)
- CDEF
- Film Grain in GLSL

### Future:

• Finish?



#### Android VLC 3.3.0-dev (20191021) - 4K av1 local playback, Huawei P20



Future

# Future

- 10bit
  - 16bit
  - ARM64/ARM32 ongoing
  - X86 ??
- GPGPU



# hanks