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Secure Supply Chain and monitoring
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Immudb - a solid technological foundation
Immudb

Open source database written in go
https://github.com/codenotary/immudb/

Built from ground-up around immutability and tamper resistance

Unique features - data proofs, time travel, data expiration, direct s3 storage support and more

Solid, secure foundation for other solutions created at Codenotary
Simplified system with just one value

Cryptographic hash function:

- Hash does not reveal any information about the original value
- Can not be reversed - can’t compute a value form only a hash

State (quick validation and proof)

```
64ec88ca….a37f3c
```

```
sha256
```

“Hello world”

The actual value
Let’s add more values to the picture

Merkle Tree

Watch out for second preimage attack

State

10485726....

c60447ef....

64ec88ca....

“Hello world”

bf4c0e86....

“Hello FOSDEM”

3df741cf....

c877c6ff....

“February”

b1ab1e89....

“2022”
Merkle tree - interesting properties

- Each value equally contributes to the root hash
- Values are ordered
- Changing any value will modify the root hash
- Can not come up with a fake tree with same root digest but using different leaf values*
- If a system claims given root hash, it can not deny existence of any of its leaf values

* if protection against second preimage attack was added
DB: It’s 2022
Me: prove it to me!
DB: sha256("c60447ef...." + sha256("c877c6ff...." + sha256("2022"))) == `10485726...
Me: where did you get those values from?
DB: my internal Merkle Tree, is there anything wrong with that?
Me: no, it’s fine.... this time...

10485726....

c60447ef....

64ec88ca....
“Hello world”

bf4c0e86....
“Hello FOSDEM”

c877c6ff....
“February”

3df741cf....

b1ab1e89....
“2022”
AHT - we can only append values at the end of the tree
DB: Current state is RH2
Me: I know that your previous state was RH1, I need a proof that you did not discard old data
DB: sha256(H1 | C) == RH1,  sha256(H1 | sha256(C | D)) == RH2
Me: wait, wait, need to think about this....
**APPENDABLE HASH TREES**

DB: Current state is RH2

Me: I know that your previous state was RH1, I need a proof that you did not discard old data

DB: \( \text{sha}(H1 | C) = RH1, \ \text{sha}(H1 | \text{sha}(C | D)) = RH2 \)

Me: wait, wait, need to think about this….

\( \text{sha256}(H1 | C) = RH1, \ \text{sha256}(H1 | \text{sha}(C | D)) = RH2 \)

\( RH1 = \text{sha256}( \text{sha256}(A | B) | C ) = \text{sha256}(H1 | C) \)

\( RH2 = \text{sha256}( \text{sha256}(A | B) | \text{sha256}(C | D) ) = \text{sha256}(H1 | \text{sha}(C | D)) \)

It's like calculating root hashes from scratch but reusing common subtrees.
DB: Current state is RH2
Me: I know that your previous state was RH1, I need a proof that you did not discard old data
DB: sha(H1 | C) == RH1,  sha(H1 | sha(C | D)) == RH2
Me: wait, wait, need to think about this....
Me: ... ok, all clear now, now I know you’re trustworthy, but I’ll check once again the next time
DB WITH CRYPTOGRAPHIC PROOFS

Client 1

DB

Client 2

S1 → S2 → S3

S4 → S5

Time
immudb under the hood (a single database)

Embedded immudb

Main Merkle Tree

sub-tree

KMV1  KMV2  KMV3  ...  KMVn

Transaction

B-tree index (for keys) with history

KV API

SQL API
immudb - KV API

Low overhead
- Very fast (close to low-level disk ops)
- Stable
- Battle-tested (used at Codenotary projects, Fintech, Government, community projects)
- Low-level KV API may be a bit hard to work with

Functionalities
- Simple Get / Set / Scan operations
- References to other keys (including historical values)
- Sorted sets
- History of a key
- Verified operations
immudb - SQL API

Very robust
- tables
- indexes
- typed columns
- null values

Actively worked on
- May still be a bit unstable
- Some features missing (e.g. alter table, foreign keys)

SQL dialect support:
- INSERT
- UPDATE
- UPSERT
- DELETE
- SELECT (with JOINs)
Immudb - planned features

Physical deletion of data
- Free disk space once old data is no longer needed (without breaking proofs)

SQL improvements:
- ALTER TABLE
- CREATE INDEX on table with data
- More data types
- expose DB schema in SQL
- support common SQL/ORM libraries (e.g. gorm)
- Improved psql compatibility

Improved transactions:
- Improve transaction API on KV level
- Allow working with both KV and SQL within a single transaction

Performance and scalability improvements

Goal: use immudb as a primary database
DEMO*

*almost live ;)
immudb - where to use?

Best as a content-specific (e.g. as a document storage) or secondary database protecting most crucial parts of the system
- Important data that must not be tampered with (verification)
- Data that needs full history of all changes (time travel)
- Reliable ledgers and audit trails

May not be good for
- Frequently changing data such as counters (each update of a value is in fact a new entry)
- Temporary data (no physical deletion of records yet, planned)
- Changing SQL schemas (no schema changes yet, in progress)
**IMMUDB - USE CASES**

**immudb - how to use?**

Internal state of immudb must be validated by some external entity

Validation is done when performing a verified operation through SDK or by running dedicated auditor process (immudb in audit mode)

Depending on required security level, it can be implemented in multiple ways
immudb - how to use?

1. No verification - just use immudb as a normal database

Even though the state is not validated, a separated immudb installation will still be harder to attack than other databases
**immudb - how to use?**

2. Additional arbiter process

Independent arbiter processes check if immudb state moves forward (consistency proof).
Independently deployed arbiter is harder to attack.
immudb - how to use?

3. State validation in the intermediate web service

Intermediate web service validates database
Compromised database will be detected, but a large attack on whole backend may still get unnoticed
immudb - how to use?

4. State validation done by the end user

Hard to attack - each user acts as an additional arbiter, malicious player must to attack the backend and all users. Requires frequent validations made by users.
immudb - how to use?

Paranoid mode - check everything everywhere

User

Web service

Arbiter

DB

Trust No1
immudb - how to use?

The more validation methods the better

Depends on the runtime environment - e.g. user-side validation may not be possible if there’s no storage available

More distributed system is harder to attack - e.g. dedicated arbiters could be installed on different datacenters or cloud providers
CAS - Practical example of immudb deployment

See how it works: https://cas.codenotary.com/
Bonus slides
$ go mod init fosdem-demo # Initialize go module

```
go: creating new go.mod: module fosdem-demo
```

go: to add module requirements and sums:

```
go mod tidy
```

$ go get github.com/codenotary/immudb@v1.2.2 && go mod tidy # Get immudb go sdk

```
go get: added github.com/Masterminds/goutils v1.1.1
    go get: added github.com/Masterminds/semver v1.5.0
    go get: added github.com/Masterminds/sprig v2.22.0+incompatible

...$

$ ./immudb # Run immudb

```

immudb 1.2.1
Commit : f2a471e4b79811a61af7c2e3411a42740154e687
Built by: bart@codenotary.com
Built at: Mon, 17 Jan 2022 15:21:05 CET

---------------- Config ----------------
Data dir : ./data
Address   : 0.0.0.0:3322
Metrics address : 0.0.0.0:9497/metrics
Config file  : configs/immudb.toml

...```
### Set KV entry

```go
package main

import {
    "context"
    "fmt"
}

immudb: "github.com/codenotary/immudb/pkg/client"

func errCheck(err error) {
    if err != nil {
        panic(err)
    }
}

func main() {
    ctx := context.Background()
    client := immudb.NewClient()
    err := client.OpenSession(
        ctx, []byte("immudb"), []byte("immudb"), "defaultdb",
    )
    errCheck(err)
    defer client.CloseSession(ctx)

    fmt.Println("Connected")

    key := []byte("fosdem")
    value := []byte("2022")
    tx, err := client.Set(ctx, key, value)
    errCheck(err)
    fmt.Println("TX: ", tx.Id)
}
```

### Read KV entry with verification

```go
package main

import {
    "context"
    "fmt"
}

immudb: "github.com/codenotary/immudb/pkg/client"

func errCheck(err error) {
    if err != nil {
        panic(err)
    }
}

func main() {
    ctx := context.Background()
    client := immudb.NewClient()
    err := client.OpenSession(
        ctx, []byte("immudb"), []byte("immudb"), "defaultdb",
    )
    errCheck(err)
    defer client.CloseSession(ctx)

    fmt.Println("Connected")

    key := []byte("fosdem")
    val, err := client.VerifiedGet(ctx, key)
    errCheck(err)
    fmt.Println("Value is: ", string(val.Value))
}
```
package main

import {
    "context"
    "fmt"
}

immudb "github.com/codenotary/immudb/pkg/client"

func errCheck(err error) {
    if err != nil {
        panic(err)
    }
}

func main() {
    ctx := context.Background()
    client := immudb.NewClient()
    err := client.OpenSession{
        ctx,
        []byte("immudb"), []byte("immudb"),
        "defaultdb",
    }
    errCheck(err)
    defer client.CloseSession(ctx)
    fmt.Println("Connected")
}

_, err := client.SQLExec(ctx, 
    CREATE TABLE IF NOT EXISTS demo{
        id INTEGER AUTO_INCREMENT,
        event VARCHAR,
        PRIMARY KEY(id)
    } 
)`
, nil)
    errCheck(err)

_, err := client.SQLExec(ctx, ` 
    INSERT INTO demo(event) VALUES('fosdem 2022') 
)`
, nil)
    errCheck(err)

res, err := client.SQLQuery(ctx, `
    SELECT id, event
    FROM demo 
    ORDER BY id DESC 
    LIMIT 1 
)`
, nil, true)
    errCheck(err)

fmt.Printf("Event %d: %s\n", 
    res.Rows[0].Values[0].GetI(), 
    res.Rows[0].Values[1].GetS(), 
)
package main

import {
    "database/sql"
    "fmt"
    "github.com/codenotary/immudb/pkg/stdlib"
}

func errCheck(err error) {
    if err != nil {
        panic(err)
    }
}

func main() {
    db, err := sql.Open("immudb", "immudb://immudb:immudb@127.0.0.1:3322/defaultdb?sslmode=disable")
    errCheck(err)
    defer db.Close()

    _, err = db.Exec(`
        INSERT INTO demo(event) VALUES('fosdem 2022')
    `, nil)
    errCheck(err)

    rows, err := db.Query(`
        SELECT id, event
        FROM demo
        ORDER BY id DESC
        LIMIT 1
    `, nil)
    errCheck(err)
    defer rows.Close()

    rows.Next()

    var id int64
    var event string
    err = rows.Scan(&id, &event)
    errCheck(err)

    fmt.Printf("Event %d: %s\n", id, event)
}
More related links if you’re interested...

Description of Merkle Trees and proofs in Certificate Transparency logs:
https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc6962#section-2.1

Alternative KV DB using same techniques (part of sigstore):
https://github.com/sigstore/rekor

Go mod proxy also uses merkle trees and proofs:
https://youtu.be/KqTySYYhPUE?t=1344