

Ruby on the Modern JVM

Who Am I

- Charles Oliver Nutter
- @headius(@mastodon.social)
- <u>headius@headius.com</u>
- JRuby developer since 2004
- Full-time JRuby and JVM language advocate since 2006
- Excited to be here complaining about JVM once again







- Post in FOSDEM Java Matrix room and I will answer
- Or find me other ways



Q/A



- Ruby language for the JVM
 - Next release drops Java 8 for 17 or 21 minimum
- In development since 2001, running Rails since 2006
- Only widely-deployed alternative Ruby
- Most successful off-platform JVM language?



JRuby

- Almost nothing worked in JRuby ca. 2005
- We started with basic tools and filled in the blanks
- Minimal compliance tests available so we ran everything we could
- And we quickly ran into challenges adapting Ruby to JVM
 - Now the fun starts (a)



Riding the Rails



Challenges of Ruby on JVM

- Strings, encodings, regular expressions
- POSIX IO, native library requirements
- Dynamic everything
- Coroutines/microthreads/fibers
- Startup and warmup time





Challenges help us grow





Strings and Regex





Regular Expressions

- Heavily used throughout Ruby
- Java's Regex crashes on some expressions (e.g. /(a|b)*/)
- We tried every Java regex engine we could find
 - JRegex was the best for Java String
 - Java String was eventually insufficient



\$ jruby -w -e 'java.util.regex.Pattern.matches("(a|b)*", "a" * 10000 + "b")'
Error: Your application used more stack memory than the safety cap of 2048K.
Specify -J-Xss####k to increase it (#### = cap size in KB).
java.lang.StackOverflowError

at java.util.regex.Pattern\$Loop.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:5074) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$GroupTail.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:5000) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$BranchConn.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:4878) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$BmpCharProperty.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:4134) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$Branch.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:4914) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$GroupHead.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:4969) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$Loop.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:5078) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$GroupTail.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:5000) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$BranchConn.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:4878) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$BmpCharProperty.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:4134) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$Branch.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:4914) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$GroupHead.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:4969) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$Loop.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:5078) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$GroupTail.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:5000) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$BranchConn.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:4878) at java.util.regex.Pattern\$BmpCharProperty.match(java/util/regex/Pattern.java:4134)

. . .

Java Oniguruma ported from C by Marcin Mielżyński

- Bytecode-based register machine, no stack issues
- byte[] matching (also ported to char[] for Nashorn)
- Pluggable character encodings, grammars
- https://github.com/jruby/joni



Joni

One String to Rule Them All

- Ruby's String is a byte[] plus an Encoding
 - Mutable by default
 - "Binary" is considered an encoding (ASCII-8BIT)
- Emulating this with java.lang.String was impossible
 - Implemented our own String and Encoding logic
 - Complicates interop with Java



JCodings

- byte[]-based character encoding and transcoding framework
 - Ported from CRuby's own "multilingualization" backend (M17N)
- All major encodings supported, plus most weird ones
- https://github.com/jruby/jcodings



JVM Today: Strings and Regex

- Java's String moved from char[] to byte[]
 - Only ISO-8859-1 or UTF-16 supported
 - Lower cost for ASCII strings from Ruby, but UTF-8?
- java.util.Regex still blows stack
 - No plans to replace it?





Dynamic Language JIT



JIT Compiler

- Interpretation worked, but was much too slow
 - All state on heap, no inlining of code, JVM could not optimize
- First mixed-mode JIT on JVM, first native JIT for Ruby
 - After N calls, translate AST to bytecode
 - JVM picks it up and does its thing
- Typically class-per-method



New Compiler Design

- 2009-2015: Subramaniam Sastry helps us write a new compiler
 - Background in C++ optimizing compilers
- New Intermediate Representation (IR) based on traditional design
 - Register machine with basic blocks, operands, CFA/DFA
- Bytecode JIT got simpler, IR did most of the work





Invokedynamic

- Introduced in Java 7, steadily improving perf and scaling
- Extensive use throughout JRuby
 - Bytecode is mostly invokedynamic calls
 - "Torture test" for indy performance
- Key to JRuby's higher performance vs CRuby





Indy on Java 8, 11, 17

Times faster than JRuby Java 8 no indy







Indy + Graal JIT?

Times faster than JRuby Java 8 no indy







JVM Today: Dynamic Lang JIT

- Class-per-method is still extremely heavyweight
 - Big part of why we defer compilation
 - Limits our ability to specialize code
- Indy is working very well
 - Tricky to use but we have utility libs that help
- More exotic call sites coming soon





Native Interop





Native Interop

- CRuby prefers JNI-like extensions
 - Too invasive, exposing VM guts
- JRuby introduces the Java Native Runtime (JNR)
 - Tools for binding and calling native libraries
 - <u>https://github.com/jnr</u>
- FFI Ruby API ported to JRuby



Java Native Runtime

- jffi: JNI wrapper around libffi
 - libffi: loading, calling C libraries dynamically
- jnr-ffi: user API for binding and calling C libraries
- jnr-posix: common POSIX functions bound with jnr-ffi
- jnr-enxio: nonblocking native IO bound with jnr-ffi
- jnr-unixsocket: UNIX sockets using jnr-enxio, jnr-ffi
- jnr-process: posix_spawn with selectable native channels



Ruby FFI

class Timeval < FFI::Struct</pre> layout :tv sec => :ulong, :tv usec => :ulong end

module LibC extend FFI::Library ffi lib FFI::Library::LIBC attach function :gettimeofday, [:pointer, :pointer], :int end

t = Timeval.new LibC.gettimeofday(t.pointer, nil)



- Foreign Function and Memory API (Project Panama)
- Independent benchmarks show great promise
 - JNR used to be fastest, now it's Panama
- jextract could finally make FFI easy
 - Currently prototyping Ruby magic to wrap jextract



JVM Today: Native Interop

JNR backend work already happening! (Michel Trudeau @Oracle)



- Writing bindings using FFI is still challenging
 - Parameter sizes, struct layout, in and out values, pointers
 - Platform differences
- jextract could help generate Ruby FFI calls
 - Same metadata, different wrapper



jextract + Ruby magic

```
//point.h
struct Point2d {
  double x;
  double y;
double distance(struct Point2d);
```

```
import java.lang.foreign.*;
import static org.jextract.point_h.*;
import org.jextract.Point2d;
```

var session = MemorySession.openConfined(); MemorySegment point = MemorySegment.allocateNative(Point2d.\$LAYOUT(), session);

```
Point2d.x$set(point, 3d);
Point2d.y$set(point, 4d);
distance(point);
```





SQLite JDBC Adapter

- Java DataBase Connectivity (JDBC) wrapper around SQLite
 - Used by JRuby for ActiveRecord, Sequel
- Java Native Interface (JNI) currently, limits throughput
- Proof-of concept Panama-based version being tested



Prism: Ruby Language Parser

- Simple C library for parsing Ruby that we can share
- JRuby migrating to it, nearly done
 - Nearly all nodes implemented, 20% faster baseline startup
- Using JNR... Panama faster?
- Also exploring Prism as WASM
 - Run on Chicory when no native lib available (it works!!!)



Lightweight Threads





Lightweight Threads

- Ruby 1.9 introduced coroutine-like Fiber
 - Single native thread drives several fibers
 - Context switch is voluntary and explicit
- Recently, push toward structured concurrency
 - Auto-reschedule on blocking IO, locks, etc



Fibers on JRuby

- Without a coroutine API, all were native threads
 - Heavy, limited number, slow context-switch
- Ruby Enumerator#next aggravates this
 - Driving external iterator with internal iteration requires Fiber
- Structured concurrency nearly impossible
 - Thousands of fibers intended for small operations



Execution flow

Thread 1

Accept socket

Wait for IO

Thread gets descheduled from CPU but still waiting for IO

Handle request

Send response

etc



5.times do t = Time.now

create 100k fibers

resume and complete 100k fibers ary.each(&:resume)

p Time.now - t end



ary = 100_000.times.map { Fiber.new { } }





\$ jruby fiber_test.rb

[7.603s][warning][os,thread] Attempt to protect stack guard pages failed
(0x00007fc240a00000-0x00007fc240a04000).
#

A fatal error has been detected by the Java Runtime Environment: # Native memory allocation (mprotect) failed to protect 16384 bytes for

- # Native memory allocation (mprote
 # memory to guard stack pages
 #
- # An error report file with more information is saved as:
- # /home/headius/work/jruby/hs_err_pid75149.log
 #
- # If you would like to submit a bug report, please visit:
- # https://bugreport.java.com/bugreport/crash.jsp
 #

Aborted (core dumped)

nformation is saved as: pid75149.log

ig report, please visit: report/crash.jsp



JVM Today: Virtual Threading

- Project Loom "Virtual threads"
 - User-mode threading
 - JVM handles scheduling
 - Thread does not have to pause
- Perfect analog for Ruby's Fibers
- Already integrated in JRuby







jruby/ext/fiber/ThreadFiber.java index 6dda30cffa..e6f8803d6b 100644 --- a/core/src/main/java/org/jruby/ext/fiber/ThreadFiber.java +++ b/core/src/main/java/org/jruby/ext/fiber/ThreadFiber.java

while (!retried) {

try {

+

runtime.getFiberExecutor().execute(() -> { Thread.ofVirtual().start(() -> {

context.setFiber(data.fiber.get());

diff --git a/core/src/main/java/org/jruby/ext/fiber/ThreadFiber.java b/core/src/main/java/org/

00 -281,7 +281,7 00 public class ThreadFiber extends RubyObject implements ExecutionContext {

```
ThreadContext context = runtime.getCurrentContext();
context.useRecursionGuardsFrom(data.parent.getContext());
```

Create 10k fibers, resume and complete each (x86_64)





Create 10k fibers, resume and complete each (Apple M1)







Startup and Warmup





Startup and Warmup

- JVM is not designed to start up quickly
 - Most of core JDK starts in interpreter
 - Long tail to optimize code and reach peak performance
- JRuby makes it harder
 - Interpreting Ruby initially, interpreter is interpreted by JVM
 - Lazy compile to bytecode, bytecode is interpreted by JVM



















Java Virtual Machine

Ahead-of-time Compilation?

- Maybe we can start with native code?
- GraalVM Native Image is a well known option
 - But completely disables dynamic features of JVM!
- Project Leyden hopefully works better?

JVM Today: Startup/Warmup

- Checkpointing most likely solution short-term
 - CRIU: Checkpoint and Restore in Userspace
 - CRaC: OpenJDK support for checkpoint and restore
 - Cross-platform possible?
- We want Leyden to work, willing to help
 - JRuby requires bytecode JIT, invokedynamic today

rails new testapp --skip-bundle

JRuby is a Testbed

- No other JVM language pushes so many edges
 - Future JVM languages may look similar
- Large corpus of tests, benchmarks from Ruby world
- Real-world users willing to run leading edge
- Always new challenges for JRuby and JVM!

More Background

- The Java Native Runtime (JVMLS 2013, FOSDEM 2014)
- JRuby: The Hard Parts (JVMLS 2014)
- Invokedynamic: Tales from the Trenches (FOSDEM 2013)
- Optimizing Above the JVM in JRuby 9000 (FOSDEM 2016)
- Ruby's Strings and What Java Can Learn From Them (FOSDEM 2017)
- JRuby Startup and AOT (FOSDEM 2020)

Thank You!

- Charles Oliver Nutter
 - <u>headius@headius.com</u>
 - @headius(@mastodon.social)
- <u>https://github.com/jruby/jruby</u>
- <u>https://www.jruby.org</u>

