Automating Spark (and Pipeline) Upgrades

While "Testing" in Production

Who am I?



- My name is Holden Karau
- Pronouns are she/her
- Apache Spark PMC (think committer with tenure)
- previously Apple, IBM, Alpine, Databricks, Google, Foursquare & Amazon
- My employer is hiring (Netflix)
- co-author of High Performance Spark, Learning Spark, and Kubeflow for Machine Learning, Scaling Python With {Ray, Dask}
- Twitter: <u>@holdenkarau</u>, bluesky holdenkarau.com, mastodon @holden@tech.lgbt
- OOS Livestreams: <u>https://youtube.com/user/holdenkarau</u>
- Github <u>https://github.com/holdenk</u>
- Dog mom





Our Problems



- We have unsupported versions of our data tools in production
- When things go wrong, I don't remember what we did ~5 months ago let alone ~5 years ago
- They often seam to go wrong when I'm trying to focus or sleep
- Spark 2 is very much EOLd, Spark 4 is coming soon

Why do we have these problems?



- Keeping code up to date is not a lot of fun
- Backporting is not fun
- Most humans prefer to do fun things (candy over say taxes)
- A lot of data pipelines are not very well tested
- Software is not "built to last" as they say (planned EOL etc.)
- Some of our data pipelines can have real world impacts when they go wrong



How can we work around our problem?

Software:

- Automated Code Update Tools
 - (AST transforms, or regexes both are fine)
- Generated Tests
- Automated Testing and Validation

Social:

• Increase visibility of out of date code & change incentives

Ok social first:

- People are way harder than computers
- We gave a deadline (and slipped) like a "normal" project
- Created visibility
- Found org champions



I have a problem, let's fix it with computers

- "I played a role in helping ... become the deprecation-happy prima donnas that they are today, when I built Grok, which is a source-code understanding engine that facilitates automation and tooling on source code itself" <u>https://medium.com/@steve.yegge/dear-google-cloud-your-deprecation-policy</u> <u>-is-killing-you-ee7525dc05dc</u>
- Oh hey that sounds familiar
- Wait "killing you" -- that doesn't sound good -- w/e



Code Update Tools

- Generally not regular expressions. Buuuut....
- Scala: ScalaFix
- Python: PySparkler
- SQL: SQLFluff
- Java: (skipped, we didn't have that many)



How do you figure out the rules to make?

- Release notes (incomplete)
- MIMA changes (soooo many)
- Try and see what's broken :p (aka YOLO)



What do some rules look like?

• Let's just look at Scala & SQL



What do they look like [Scala]

override def fix(implicit doc: SemanticDocument): Patch = {

val readerMatcher =

SymbolMatcher.normalized("org.apache.spark.sql.DataFrameReader")

val jsonReaderMatcher =

SymbolMatcher.normalized("org.apache.spark.sql.DataFrameReader.json")

```
val utils = new Utils()
```

```
def matchOnTree(e: Tree): Patch = {
```

```
e match {
```

case ns @ Term.Apply(jsonReaderMatcher(reader), List(param)) =>

What do they look like [Scala] (continued)

```
param match {
    case utils.rddMatcher(rdd) =>
        (Patch.addLeft(rdd, "session.createDataset(") +
Patch.addRight(rdd, ")(Encoders.STRING)") +
```

utils.addImportIfNotPresent(importer"org.apache.spark.sql.Encoders"))

```
case _ =>
    Patch.empty
}
```

What do they look like [Scala] (continued)

}

```
case elem @ _ =>
      elem.children match {
        case Nil => Patch.empty
        case => elem.children.map(matchOnTree).asPatch
      }
}
matchOnTree(doc.tree)
```

def _eval(self, context: RuleContext) -> Optional[LintResult]:

functional_context = FunctionalContext(context)

children = functional_context.segment.children()

```
function_name_id_seg = (
```

```
children.first(sp.is_type("function_name"))
```

```
.children()
```

```
.first(sp.is_type("function_name_identifier"))[0]
```

)

```
raw_function_name = function_name_id_seg.raw.upper().strip()
```

```
function_name = raw_function_name.upper().strip()
```

```
bracketed_segments = children.first(sp.is_type("bracketed"))
```

if function_name == "APPROX_PERCENTILE" or function_name == "PERCENTILE_APPROX":

```
expression count = 0
```

```
expression_segment = None
```

```
# Find "middle" of the approx_percentile(bloop) (e.g. bloop)
```

for segment in bracketed_segments.children().iterate_segments(

```
sp.is_type("expression")
```

):

```
expression_count += 1
```

```
if expression_count == 3:
```

```
expression_segment = segment
```

```
if expression_segment is not None:
```

```
expression_child = expression_segment.children().first()
```

cast can either be a keyword or a function depending on if were iterating on

- # parsed on updated code.
- if expression_child[0].type == "keyword":
 - if expression_child.child[0].raw == "cast":

```
return None
```

```
elif expression_child[0].type == "function":
```

```
function_name_id_seg = (
```

```
expression_child.children()
```

```
.first(sp.is_type("function_name"))
```

```
.children()
```

)

```
.first(sp.is_type("function_name_identifier"))[0]
```

```
raw_function_name = function_name_id_seg.raw.upper().strip()
```

```
function_name = raw_function_name.upper().strip()
```

If we see a cast then we know this was already fixed.

```
if function_name == "CAST":
```

return None

expression_child = expression_child[0]

```
edits = [
```

```
KeywordSegment("cast"),
```

```
SymbolSegment("(", type="start_bracket"),
```

```
expression_child,
```

```
WhitespaceSegment(),
```

```
KeywordSegment("as"),
```

```
WhitespaceSegment(),
```

```
KeywordSegment("int"),
```

```
SymbolSegment(")", type="end_bracket"),
```

]

How do we know if it worked?

- Hope is not a plan
- Tests? (See https://github.com/holdenk/spark-testing-base)
- lakeFS or lceberg + side by side runs
 - https://github.com/holdenk/spark-upgrade/tree/main/pipelinecompare
 - An extension of the WAP pattern see Michelle Winters from Netflix in her talk <u>"Whoops the Numbers are Wrong."</u>
 - We tried to do opt-out but ended up having to do opt-in tagging
 - Added some extensions to pick up changed partitions and not validate "too large" jobs
- Validation queries
 - o <u>SodaCL</u>
 - <u>https://datatest.readthedocs.io/en/latest/intro/pipeline-validation.html</u>
 - <u>spark-expectations</u>



Is that expensive? Does it catch everything?

• Yes

 Beyond doubling the cost for shadow jobs comparisons themselves took substantial compute resources.

• No

- Jobs with side effects
- Non-deterministic jobs
- etc.



DEMO TIME

Let's hope it does not crash. Yay!

Ok, but where doesn't this work well?

- Dependencies
 - In my super informal survey of folks the #1 reason blocking upgrade was ElasticSearch connector
- Programming language version change
 - The reality is there's a lot of Scala 2.11 code out there, our resources are focused on 2.12->2.13 migration's but folks are further back
 - Scala version change was the #2 reason blocking Spark upgrades for folks
- API changes (what this "solves") came in #3



In conclusion:

- If you want to upgrade Spark and are lazy <u>https://github.com/holdenk/spark-upgrade</u>
- The good news is we haven't made a system so powerful we can change APIs without caring
- The bad news is the same
- The excellent news is: my dog is cute AF

