[sys-base]

V4L2 Stateless Video Encoding: Hardware Support and uAPI

Paul Kocialkowski

paulk@sys-base.io



FOSDEM 2024 Saturday February 3rd 2024

Rationale

Why encode videos?

Because pictures are too big.



Rationale

So let's compress videos!

And now they look crappy.



Rationale

Main topic of encoding:

Trade-off betwen data size and perceived quality.



Video Codecs

What makes a good codec?

- Better trade-off between size and perceived quality
- Codecs have improved very significantly
- Less size with more perceived quality

Codec specifications:

- Standards and specifications (hard to read)
- Some require royalties, some don't
- Good fit for both software and hardware implementations
- Hype codecs: AV1, VP9, H.265 (HEVC), H.266 (VVC)
- Adoption of new standards is slow

Can help drastically reduce global network/storage power consumption.

Video Compression Techniques

Common video compression techniques:

- Spatial compression:
 - YUV chroma sub-sampling (typical 4:2:0 8-bit)
 - Frequency domain transform and quantization (QP value)
 - Intra prediction (redundancy)
 - Entropy coding
- Temporal compression:
 - Intra-coded (I) frames: without reference
 - Predictive (P) frames: with past references
 - Bi-predictive (B) frames: with future and past references
- Pictures are split in macroblocks, with a deblocking filter
- Various more advanced codec-specific techniques

Video Compression Techniques



Visualization of inter-frame motion vectors

Caminandes 2: Gran Dillama, Blender Foundation (2013)

Video Encoding Techniques

Strategies for target behavior/use case:

- Constant/average bitrate (CBR/ABR)
- Constant quality (CQP/CRF)
- Variable bitrate (VBR)
- Fine-tuned, custom

Rate-control feedback loop implementation:

- Implement the selected strategy
- Decide on frame type and quantization parameter (QP)
- Handle variable scenes and react to changes

Rate-control implementation is key for best results!

Hardware Video Encoding

Video encoding acceleration:

- CPU-based encoding is generally very demanding/slow
- Use-cases with high sizes and frame rates
- Use-cases with on-the-spot (real-time) needs (cameras)
- Dedicated hardware encoder circuits relieve the pain!

Hardware encoder features:

- Produce conformant bitstream for codec(s)
- Common pre-processing: format adaptation, anti-shake, crop
- Usual limitations: profile/level support, number of reference slots
- Time-sharing between contexts (parallelization too!)

Hardware Video Encoding Implementation

Two major types of hardware implementations:

- **Stateful** encoders (abstracted, less flexible):
 - Include a dedicated micro-controller and compression units
 - Firmware (proprietary) manages: context (state), memory, rate-control
 - Mailbox and message interface with main CPU
 - Generates bitstream with coded meta-data and picture data
- Stateless encoders (bare-metal, more flexible):
 - No micro-controller, only compression units
 - CPU-side driver driver manages: context(state), memory and rate-control
 - Register-driven configuration from main CPU
 - Generates bitstream with coded picture data only

Memory considerations:

- Reconstruction buffers for references
- Dedicatetd DMA memory (without IOMMU), cache coherency
- Zero-copy buffer sharing from other units (camera)

Hardware Video Encoding Known Designs

Known stateful designs:

- Imagination: PowerVR VPU
- Chips&Media: CODA, WAVE
- Allegro/Amphion: Windsor
- Qualcomm: Venus, Iris
- Samsung: MFC
- Amlogic: VPU
- Mediatek: Video Codec
- NVIDIA: NVENC
- AMD: VCE

Known stateless designs:

- Verisilicon: Hantro
- Allwinner: Video Engine
- Intel: Quick Sync Video
- Maybe more?

V4L2 Stateful Encoding Support

Stateful encoding API:

- V4L2 memory-to-memory (M2M) API with 2 queues:
 - Single video device
 - Output queue: picture (source)
 - Capture queue: coded (destination)
- Dedicated pixel formats: e.g. V4L2_PIX_FMT_H264
- Dedicated controls for encoding features and rate-control: e.g. V4L2_CID_MPEG_VIDEO_H264_ENTROPY_MODE
- Frame interval enumeration and selection
- Frame size enumeration, alignment and target crop
- Supported by GStreamer and FFmpeg

V4L2 Stateless Encoding Support

Stateless encoding is significantly more complex:

- Bitstream meta-data needs to be generated
- Rate-control needs to be implemented
- References need to be selected explicitly
- More memory management needed: side and reconstruction buffers
- uAPI still needs to be hardware-agnostic

Stateless encoding should be flexible:

- Low-level control over the hardware opens possibilities
- Userspace might know relevant information
- Userspace might want/need custom rate-control
- Simple/usual cases should be covered without too much userspace logic

V4L2 Stateless Encoding: Hantro H1

Existing work (not mainline-based):

- MPP (Rockchip):
 - User-space rate-control and meta-data bitstream generation
 - Custom interface with full userspace register configuration
 - https://github.com/rockchip-linux/mpp, path: mpp/hal/vpu/h264e/
- ChromiumOS custom V4L2 driver (Google):
 - User-space rate-control and meta-data bitstream generation
 - Custom register configuration and feedback data via V4L2 controls
 - Kernel: https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromiumos/third_party/kernel/, branch: chromeos-4.4, path: drivers/media/platform/rockchip-vpu/



V4L2 Stateless Encoding: Hantro H1

Mainline-based attempts:

• H.264 encoding (Bootlin):

- User-space rate-control (basic) and meta-data bitstream generation
- Custom register configuration and feedback data via V4L2 controls
- Kernel: https://github.com/bootlin/linux, branch: hantro/h264-encoding-v5.11
- Userspace: https://github.com/bootlin/v412-hantro-h264-encoder
- VP8 encoding (Collabora):
 - User-space rate-control (basic), kernel-side meta-data bitstream generation
 - Kernel: [RFC 0/2] VP8 stateless V4L2 encoding uAPI + driver
 - Userspace: GStreamer merge request #3736

Hardware notes:

- Specific constraints on some meta-data fields
- In-loop rate-control helpers (checkpoints, MAD)

V4L2 Stateless Encoding: Allwinner Video Engine

Existing work:

- A10/A13/A20 cedrus h264enc (Jens Kuske):
 - Research effort from the *linux-sunxi* community: https://linux-sunxi.org/VE_Register_guide
 - User-space rate-control (basic) and meta-data bitstream generation: https://github.com/jemk/cedrus.git
 - Using Allwinner's downstream kernel driver
 - Fully userspace implementation (MMIO register map)

Mainline-based attempt:

- V3/V3s/S3 H.264 encoding (Bootlin):
 - Kernel-side rate-control (basic) and bitstream generation
 - Using the stateful encoding uAPI (more or less)
 - Complete re-architecture of the cedrus driver
 - Kernel: https://github.com/bootlin/linux, branch: cedrus/h264-encoding
 - Userspace: https://github.com/bootlin/v412-cedrus-enc-test

V4L2 Stateless Encoding uAPI: Lessons Learned

Bottomline:

- Re-using the stateful API brings significant limitations
- Bitstream meta-data needs to be produced kernel-side
- Rate-control on kernel-side is simple but limiting
- Rate-control in userspace is flexible but more involved

State of the art:

- Finding an acceptable middle-ground is hard
- Ongoing discussions on the *linux-media* mailing-list
- uAPI is needed before adding drivers

Stateless Encoding uAPI Discussion and Proposal

https://lore.kernel.org/linux-media/ZK2NiQd1KnraAr20@aptenodytes/

V412 Stateless Encoding uAPI: Proposal and Thoughts

Possible ways forward:

- Have a switch between kernel-side and user-side rate-control?
 - Stateful uAPI clone for simple cases
 - Explicit frame type, QP and reference list decision for advanced needs
- Provide suggestions, let userspace decide:
 - Feedback data provided from kernel-side rate-control implementation
 - Let userspace decide and tweak suggestion
 - Have a switch to auto-apply feedback for next frame
- Common code for stateless encoders:
 - Codec-specific bitstream meta-data generation
 - Rate-control implementations

Follow-up work:

- Merge encoder work in *hantro/verisilicon* and *cedrus* drivers
- Gstreamer and FFmpeg integration



Thanks for listening!

