40 new ways the CRA can accidentally harm open source

Tobie Langel, UnlockOpen

"Open Source In The European Legislative Landscape" devroom FOSDEM 2024



The CRA has landed.

It could have been catastrophic. It isn't.

- 🚆 Open source community rose to the occasion
- Policymakers paid attention & considered community input
- X Avoided harming open source
- Avoided harming EU's ability to leverage open source

We now have (a lot more) clarity*

Responsibility falls where it rightfully belongs: with the entities monetizing the software (*manufacturers*)

f Interesting new role: *open source stewards*

(smart alignment with EU ambitions to leverage open source to regain tech sovereignty)



Industry & ecosystem-wide impact



Companies will be more cautious

 \Rightarrow projects move to foundations (the stewards)

Conformance requirements move up dependency trees \Rightarrow ecosystem-wide impact



Who's going to bare the cost of this overhead?



40+ harmonized standards

2 options to meet the requirements of the CRA:

🕵 Demonstrate conformity (burden of proof is on you)



Follow a set of standards (provides presumption of conformity)

facto way the CRA impacts open source



40+ way things can go wrong

40+ standards = 40+ ways things can go wrong

Notoriously opaque standardization processes

Solution Source adoption requires open & royalty free standards

Huge diversity of open source stakeholders (stewards, hobbyist, COSS, EU SME ecosystem, etc.)



Interop with other jurisdictions



Thank you!

Tobie Langel, UnlockOpen

"Open Source In The European Legislative Landscape" devroom FOSDEM 2024