

Falsehoods FOSDEM attendees believe about the CRA

**as overheard by a technologist (not a lawyer!) whose job it is to
know the CRA**

aka let's speed run an FAQ

**I work on Cyber Resilience Act standards
and read it all the time**

**skipping the rest of an intro,
I only have 256 seconds**



Co-funded by
the European Union

On typical open source projects

Falsehood 📍



I'll have to pay a big fine if my
open source project has a
vulnerability

Falsehood 📍



Donations or sponsorships for my work in Open Source makes me personally liable

Falsehood 📍



**My work on an open source
project could make my employer
liable for it**

Falsehood 📍



Releasing an open source project means I have to do compliance documents if anyone integrates it

Falsehood 📍



I have to find an “open source steward” for my project

Falsehood 📍



I'll just be an “open source steward”

Falsehood 📍



The CRA requires projects to follow specific processes



Co-funded by
the European Union

On products, doing business

Falsehood 📍



Any CVE on my integrated components will set me up for a big fine

Falsehood 📍



As long as it's not for sale with a price tag, it's not a "product"

Falsehood 📍



Integrating a CE-marked component covers my “due diligence” requirements

Falsehood 📍



**Commercial products won't want
to integrate open source anymore**

Falsehood 📍



**Any update to a product means I
have to do a complicated
assessment all over again**



Co-funded by
the European Union

Bonus round

Falsehood 📉



RCAAs must be released within 24 hours of discovering a vulnerability

Falsehood 📍



**The ecosystem will be full of
“CRA Compliant” forks**